

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,082	10/06/2003	Jacobus M. Lemmens	091856-0105	4414
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 02/27/2009 LARDNER LLP		EXAM	IINER
SUITE 500			SIMMONS, CHRIS E	
3000 K STREI WASHINGTO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/678,082	LEMMENS ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CHRIS E. SIMMONS	1612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
 \(\)The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whiche no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extension working or I're Finike, Inselection Owned where I'voo'. The date have been deline may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been deline may be considered to the considered of the considered have been deline may be considered to the considered under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above. If checked, Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	e of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	ithin the time period set forth in 37 (JFR 41.37(a).					
_	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be					
	(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.					
		nnliant Amendment (PTOL =324)				
 In the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) In Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	M - 11	the control of and an ex-					
7. Mor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xpianation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 51-59.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612

13. Other: _____.

/C. E. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 1612

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed to amend claim 51 to recite the limitation a pH within the range of 5 to 6. This limitation was not previously considered in regard to the compositions of claims 51 to 55, and further analysis would be required to determine whether the compositions in claims 51-55, wherein said composition has a pH within a range of 5 to 6 and is not required to have a water content of 1.2 w/% or less, would have been obvious. Additionally a further search would also have to be made to determine the state of the art with regard to this issue, i.e., whether it would be obvious to have changed the pH of paroxetine compositions as more broadly recited in claim 51.